	res colamac
>	GCNGLTANO.

LEGEG ROCKY FLATS





4 RFQLS	-5	<u>\$</u>
DIST		BC
A. W.E.		
DETTRL		
N A		
4× 4.5		
ع تب		
YALG		
~ bo ≤ u		
'S ^		
F PADW		
) 2]		
a A I K		
- J		
LT		
~ 6		
"> NS, N. M.	X	
W A.		
'F" 7.4W		
W LY A		
A HP		
=X.G		

NA.D M OS€ ¹ κ

m2 salon GK ∞x =0

FCATION AD.

COUSSIFIER SIGNATURE TO ASSESS * 'S RED ER

ු යන

EG&G ROCKY FLATS INC ROCKY FLATS PLANT PO BOX 464 GOLDEN COLORADO 80402 6

February 4 1994

94 RF 01558

S R Grace Environmental Restoration Division DOE/RFO

MINUTES FROM THE DECEMBER 2 1993 MEETING ON SIX PHASE HEATING TECHNOLOGY JKH 016 94

EG&G Rocky Flats Inc is transmitting copies of minutes from a meeting on six phase heating technology held December 2 1993 with representatives from the Environmental Protection Agency Colorado Department of Health Department of Energy/Rocky Flats Office Pacific National Labs and EG&G The meeting was held to discuss the location of Test Site 2 under the current Operable Unit No 2 Subsurface Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action Soil Vapor Extraction program EG&G requested the flexibility to move the location of Test Site 2 to a location within Operable Unit 1

If you have any questions regarding the minutes please contact R E Madel of Environmental Engineering & Technology on extension 6972

J K Hopkins

Manager Remediation Technology Environmental Engineering & Technology

REM cet

Orig and 1 cc S R Grace

Attachment As Stated

 ∞

J Pepe DOE/RFO R J Schassburger DOE/RFO E A Dillé Aguirre M J Harris **NFT**

SIX PHASE HEATING TECHNOLOGY MEETING MINUTES DECEMBER 2 1993

Attenders

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE NUMBER
Robin Madel	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 6972
Annette Primrose	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 8618
Pete Laurin	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 8702
Eric Dille	Aguirre Eng /DOE RFO	966 4651
Todd Trangmar	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 3855
R Zeke Houk	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 8714
Bill Fraser	EPA	294 1081
Gary Kleeman	EPA	294 1071
Theresa Bergsman	PNL	(509) 376 3638
Marcıa Walter	PNL	(509) 372 3348
Jeff Swanson	сон	692 3416
Michael D Klein	EG&G Rocky Flats	966 6950
Scott Grace	DOE/ERD	966 7199
Tom Greengard	SMS/RFO	966 3677
Michael Harris	NFT/DOE	966 4189
Steve Stein	PNL/OTD	(206) 528 3340

Summary of Meeting

This meeting was held to discuss the possibility of moving the location of test site No. 2 under the existing Operable Unit No. 2 (OU 2) Subsurface Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action IM/IRA program to a location within OU 1 specifically Individual Hazardous Substance Site (IHSS) 119.1 There is some preliminary evidence to suggest the presence of Non Aqueous Phase Liquid (NAPL) contamination at IHSS 119.1 EG&G and DOE asked EPA and CDH for the flexibility to move to the OU 1 location if it were determined that the location is adequately contaminated

OU 1 - NAPL Contamination

There is evidence in the groundwater for NAPLs A soil vapor survey (SVS)over the southwest corner of IHSS 119 1 would provide an evaluation to see if this is present (third week of December)

OU 2 - Current SVE

Test Site 1 for the current SVE program starts February 1994 Potential start for Six Phase Heating (at Test Site 2) is October

More data is needed to characterize the site

SVS in IHSS 119 1 3rd week of December Split spoon samples if necessary Phase II RFI/RI data indicates presence of NAPLs Installation of extraction and injection wells will give additional data

Location Selection

Does OU 1 really have contamination enough to make this a test? Is the contamination localized enough to make this an effective removal? Requirements for effective 6 Phase Heating

40 x 20 area maximum for Six Phase Adequate contaminants and concentrations

EG&G and PNL need to establish effectiveness criteria.

If OU 1 does not meet the effectiveness criteria move to a site in OU 2 as originally planned

The question is can the OU 1 location be used in place of the OU 2 location for test 2?

Kleeman Why do Six Phase Heating?

Klein Heating was an option for Test 2 at OU 2 Six Phase Heating is available to us through PNL with EM 50 funding. If there is an adequate area to be cleaned up at OU 1 it can be cleaned up first

Fraser What would we gain? The OU 1 cleanup is not part of OU 2 IM/IRA Perhaps it is a good idea. What specific things would be learned in the IM/IRA?

Klein The IRAP calls for the location of test site 2 to be at the Mound or 903 Pad

Bergsman The OU 1 data would be applicable to OU 2

Houk What could be learned from doing the test at IHSS 119 1?

Klein This info will help assess whether this is a cost effective method as well as operating parameters

Fraser The three sites at OU 2 were different situations

Grace Maybe this should be a time out from the OU 2 schedule

Fraser Will this really be effective?

Klein It will add to knowledge gained We could stay at the current location add additional staff at OU 2 and do the subsurface heating here. Or we could make this the removal action

Swanson Will the PVC in the wells melt?

Bergsman/Klein No We can always sink new wells

Fraser We have two goals in the IRAP-To learn things about SVE in different environments and to take advantage of the opportunity if the SVE works well and use it to clean up the site. If either site presents a better opportunity, then use it

Kleeman An adequate characterization should be performed to determine where the technology fits best

Fraser Test Plan 2 will have to be modified

Madel We need better data to ensure that the OU 1 site is appropriate. We need more characterization. Then we'll have another meeting to discuss our recommendations.

Klein More SVS data has been collected for OU 2 already. The new data will be evaluated to see if the concentrations are high enough. We need a consensus before pursuing either site.

Madel The data will be available in January Then well meet

Fraser How does this relate to the Early Action?

Klein There are two methods we can pursue—an Emergency Removal and an EE/CA

Fraser There is a new OSWER directive on SVE Thermal Desorption and Incineration for VOCs in soil

Klein We would like to use Six Phase for OU 2 IHSS 110 but we need to characterize it further

Dille How will the OU 2 examination impact the milestone date. The EA and IM/IRAP are linked

Grace Six weeks after end of Test 1 is next Test 2 milestone

Klein June 24 1994 Test 2 delivery date

Grace The location is up in the air. We need to gather data to determine where project is going

Madel Well characterize OU 1 more and prepare a presentation comparing the OU 1 site to an OU 2 site

Kleeman Do you have more samples planned beyond the SVS

Madel/Klein That depends on what SVS shows

Madel A generator will be needed to generate a lot of power. We li need an APEN

Dille Permanent power may be in by March 1994

Swanson I! check to see if this will be a problem. Robin please call to get the answer

Madel We need to add potable water to the heating elements

Bergsman Well be adding 1 to 2 gallons/hour at the electrodes

Swanson Just like Iggy Liator

Fraser This information must be in the workplan. You have to show how this is not transporting contamination further

Swanson I II check to see if you II need a permit to put the water into the ground

Fraser Isn't this covered under the CERCLA permit?

Bergsman It was a problem for us at Savannah River

Steve Stein, OTD Coordinator

Implementing arm of DOE Coordinates Demos Senior manager at PNL

Bergsman Heating will remove VOCs Works when there is permeable soil VOC and amounts that can be removed in a reasonable time frame. Problems at RFP – low soil conductivity, and high levels of contamination. Heating – Increases vapor pressure in situ steam (steam stripping), and tight soils heated from steam. More rapid removal of subsurface soil contamination.

Kleeman Have you seen any success at Savannah River?

Bergsman Post SVE testing of soils will be done next week. There was an increase of 200 PPB over baseline (non heated) however those results were biased by a higher contaminated region outside of heating pattern area. This is contributing contamination and masking heating effect. We li be doing post test SVS. We li take core data at location after where core samples taken before (pre-test).

Steve Stein

Wants to get things done and get benefits from site cleanup Convinced that everyone will come out ahead Demonstrate that the technology

Makes sense
Can clean up a site
Gets more data at different sites

Wants more

Opportunity to be team players

Move quickly eliminate paperwork blow through restrictions

Scott is prepared to rid system of nonsense
we ve had success at other sites

505